UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		Plaintiff(s), -against-	cv(JAV) <u>CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN</u> <u>AND SCHEDULING ORDER</u>
		Defendant(s).	
JEAN	NETT:	E A. VARGAS, United States District Ju	ıdge:
accor		Civil Case Management Plan and Sched with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).	uling Order is submitted by the parties in
1.	United free to the ren submit Court	I States Magistrate Judge, including mot withhold consent without any adverse s maining paragraphs need not be complete ting this Case Management Plan and Sc	to conducting all further proceedings before a ions and trial. 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are substantive consequences. [If all parties consent, ted at this time. Instead, within three days of scheduling Order, the parties shall submit to the eference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge, ault/files/2018-06/AO-3.pdf.]
2.	The pa	arties [have/ have not] conferred	ed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).
3.	Settler	ment discussions [have/ have not _] taken place.
	a.	-	an informal exchange of information in aid of change the following information within
	b.	Counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the parties believe the parties believe the parties believe the following this case (counsel for the parties believe the part	owing alternative dispute resolution mechanisms theck all that apply):
		☐ Immediate referral to the District's M	Mediation Program
		☐ Immediate referral to a Magistrate Ju	udge
		☐ Referral to the District's Mediation I	Program after the close of fact discovery

		☐ Referral to a Magistrate Judge after the close of fact discovery
		☐ Retention of a private mediator
		□ Other
	c.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this order.
4.	amend of the follow deadling	a party amends a pleading as a matter of course pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1), led pleadings may not be filed and additional parties may not be joined except with leave Court. Any motion for leave to amend or join additional parties shall be filed no later than [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days ing the date of this Order. Any motion to amend or to join additional parties filed after the me in this paragraph will be subject to the "good cause" standard in Fed. R. Civ. P. 4) rather than the more lenient standards of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 and 21.]
5.	Fact D	iscovery
	a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than
	b.	Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 14 days following the Initial Pretrial Conference.]
	c.	[If applicable] The plaintiff(s) shall provide HIPAA-compliant medical records release authorizations to the defendant(s) no later than
	d.	Any proposed order or stipulation regarding electronically stored information shall be filed within 30 days of the date of this Order.
	e.	Initial requests for production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 shall be served no later than [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days following the Initial Pretrial Conference.]
	f.	Interrogatories pursuant to Local Rule 33.3(a) shall be served no later than [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days following the Initial Pretrial Conference.]
	g.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served no later than
	h.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30 and 31 shall be completed by the date set forth in paragraph 5(a).

- i. Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 5(b)–(h) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 5(a).
- j. The parties should not anticipate extensions of the deadline for fact discovery. Relatedly, the parties should not make a unilateral decision to stay or halt discovery (on the basis of settlement negotiations or otherwise) in anticipation of an extension. If something unforeseen arises, a party may seek a limited extension of the foregoing deadlines by letter-motion filed on ECF. Any such motion must be filed before the relevant deadline and must explain why, despite the parties' due diligence, discovery could not be completed by the relevant deadline.

6.	Expert	Discovery
	a.	The parties [do/ do not] anticipate requiring expert discovery. [If the response to 6(a) is yes, then complete the remainder of this section.]
	b.	All expert discovery shall be completed no later than
	c.	Any party-proponent of a claim (including a cross-claim, counterclaim, or third-party claim) that intends to offer expert testimony in respect to such claim must make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by no later than
	d.	Any party-opponent that intends to offer expert testimony in opposition to such claim must make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by no later than
	e.	The interim deadlines in paragraphs $6(c)$ – (d) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that expert discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph $6(b)$.
7.	Any proposed order or stipulation regarding electronically stored information shall be filed within 30 days of the date of this Order.	
8.	post-fa	[two weeks after the close of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a act discovery joint status letter, as outlined in Section 8(d) of the Court's Individual Rules actices in Civil Cases.
9.		licable] By [one week after the close of expert discovery], the parties ubmit a post-discovery joint status letter, as outlined in Section 8(e) of the Court's

required by Rule 8(F)-(I) of the Court's Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases shall be

10. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the joint pretrial order and additional submissions

Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases.

	due 30 days from the close of all discovery, or, if a dispositive motion has been filed, within 30 days of a decision on such motion.
11.	This case [is/ is not] to be tried to a jury.
12.	Counsel for the parties have conferred and their best estimate of the length of trial is
13.	Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below:
14.	The next case management conference is scheduled for at in Courtroom of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York. [To be completed by the Court.]
15.	This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except as provided in paragraphs 5(i) and 6(e) or by further Order of the Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and Practices and shall be made no fewer than two business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.
Dated	
	New York, New York SO ORDERED.
	JEANNETTE A. VARGAS United States District Judge